

Shomper, Kris

2777

From: Janet Orazem [billinaofoz@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, October 04, 2010 4:17 PM
To: IRRC
Subject: Proposed Regulation #2777

My name is Janet Orazem, I am a raw milk consumer and I respectfully request that you reject proposed regulation #2777 Department of Agriculture 2-160: Milk Sanitation. I am an intelligent, discriminating consumer and do not need protection from my farmer-neighbor or local market or store. If they provide an unsatisfactory product or fail to appropriately correct a problem they will be out of business quickly. At that level, State regulation does not and cannot provide a higher level policing; every consumer polices that supplier with every transaction and has direct enforcement options. Where we need government involvement is where the suppliers or markets are no longer our neighbors but rather corporations created by the State. Food safety is not size neutral. Larger operations are more complex, problems have much more far-reaching impacts and we have no direct meaningful recourse if there is a problem. The regulation needs to focus on those operations.

Although some regulations on this latter group are necessary, the regulation could be much simpler if they were performance standards, requiring that the end product achieves a desired result. How that result is achieved is the producers' responsibility, not the State's. That would result in the State's role being to test for compliance, a function that could easily be contracted out, rather than inserting itself in micromanaging the operation.

Again, I view the proposed regulation as excessive, and in some cases onerous and unnecessary. These flaws warrant that the proposed regulation be rejected.

Thank you for voting for my right to choose what to buy and eat.

--

Janet Orazem

RECEIVED
IRRC

2010 OCT -4 P 4: 22

2777

Shomper, Kris

From: Miller, Sarah E.
Sent: Monday, October 04, 2010 4:14 PM
To: IRRC
Subject: FW: IRRC Website - New Message

RECEIVED
IRRC

2010 OCT -4 P 4: 22

From: Independent Regulatory Review Commission [mailto:No-Reply@irrc.state.pa.us]
Sent: Monday, October 04, 2010 4:12 PM
To: Help
Subject: IRRC Website - New Message



IRRC

Independent Regulatory Review Commission

A new message has arrived from the IRRC Website

First Name: Jirina

Last Name: Salusky

Company:

Email: jirina@salusky.com

Subject: #2777 Department of Agriculture 2-160: Milk Sanitation.

Message:

My name is Jirina Salusky, I am a raw milk consumer and I respectfully request that you reject proposed regulation #2777 Department of Agriculture 2-160: Milk Sanitation. I am an intelligent, discriminating consumer and do not need protection from my farmer-neighbor or local market or store. If they provide an unsatisfactory product or fail to appropriately correct a problem they will be out of business quickly. At that level, State regulation does not and cannot provide a higher level policing; every consumer polices that supplier with every transaction and has direct enforcement options. Where we need government involvement is where the suppliers or markets are no longer our neighbors but rather corporations created by the State. Food safety is not size neutral. Larger operations are more complex, problems have much more far-reaching impacts and we have no direct meaningful recourse if there is a problem. The regulation needs to focus on those operations. Although some regulations on this latter group are necessary, the regulation could be much simpler if they were performance standards, requiring that the end product achieves a desired result. How that result is achieved is the producers' responsibility, not the State's. That would result in the State's role being to test for compliance, a function that could easily be contracted out, rather than inserting itself in micromanaging the operation. Again, I view the proposed regulation as excessive, and in some cases onerous and unnecessary. These flaws warrant that the proposed regulation be rejected.

From: Miller, Sarah E.
Sent: Monday, October 04, 2010 4:27 PM
To: IRRC
Subject: FW: IRRC Website - New Message

**RECEIVED
IRRC**

2010 OCT -4 P 4: 32

From: Independent Regulatory Review Commission [mailto:No-Reply@irrc.state.pa.us]
Sent: Monday, October 04, 2010 4:26 PM
To: Help
Subject: IRRC Website - New Message



IRRC

Independent Regulatory Review Commission

A new message has arrived from the IRRC Website

First Name: Nancy

Last Name: Hoydich

Company:

Email: nhoydich@comcast.net

Subject: proposed regulation #2777

Message:

My name is Nancy Hoydich and I believe in the production and consumption of raw milk. I live in I respectfully request that you reject proposed regulation #2777 Department of Agriculture 2-160: Milk Sanitation. I am an intelligent, discriminating consumer and do not need protection from my farmer-neighbor or local market or store. If they provide an unsatisfactory product or fail to appropriately correct a problem they will be out of business quickly. At that level, State regulation does not and cannot provide a higher level policing; every consumer polices that supplier with every transaction and has direct enforcement options. Where we need government involvement is where the suppliers or markets are no longer our neighbors but rather corporations created by the State. Food safety is not size neutral. Larger operations are more complex, problems have much more far-reaching impacts and we have no direct meaningful recourse if there is a problem. The regulation needs to focus on those operations. Although some regulations on this latter group are necessary, the regulation could be much simpler if they were performance standards, requiring that the end product achieves a desired result. How that result is achieved is the producers' responsibility, not the State's. That would result in the State's role being to test for compliance, a function that could easily be contracted out, rather than inserting itself in micromanaging the operation. Again, I view the proposed regulation as excessive, and in some cases onerous and unnecessary. These flaws warrant that the proposed regulation be rejected.

2777

Shomper, Kris

From: Miller, Sarah E.
Sent: Monday, October 04, 2010 4:27 PM
To: IRRC
Subject: FW: IRRC Website - New Message

RECEIVED
IRRC

2010 OCT -4 P 4: 32

From: Independent Regulatory Review Commission [mailto:No-Reply@irrc.state.pa.us]
Sent: Monday, October 04, 2010 4:26 PM
To: Help
Subject: IRRC Website - New Message



IRRC

Independent Regulatory Review Commission

A new message has arrived from the IRRC Website

First Name: Jakir

Last Name: Chowdhury

Company:

Email: jakir.chowdhury@gmail.com

Subject: Regulation #2777

Message:

My name is Jakir Chowdhury. I am a raw milk consumer and I respectfully request that you reject proposed regulation #2777 Department of Agriculture 2-160: Milk Sanitation. I am an intelligent, discriminating consumer and do not need protection from my farmer-neighbor or local market or store. If they provide an unsatisfactory product or fail to appropriately correct a problem they will be out of business quickly. At that level, State regulation does not and cannot provide a higher level policing; every consumer polices that supplier with every transaction and has direct enforcement options. Where we need government involvement is where the suppliers or markets are no longer our neighbors but rather corporations created by the State. Food safety is not size neutral. Larger operations are more complex, problems have much more far-reaching impacts and we have no direct meaningful recourse if there is a problem. The regulation needs to focus on those operations. There has been more episodes of poor contamination at larger controlled suppliers recently. Moreover, as a citizen of a democratic country, I should have my rights to choose. Although some regulations on this latter group are necessary, the regulation could be much simpler if they were performance standards, requiring that the end product achieves a desired result. How that result is achieved is the producers' responsibility, not the State's. That would result in the State's role being to test for compliance, a function that could easily be contracted out, rather than inserting itself in micromanaging the operation. Again, I view the proposed regulation as excessive, and in some cases onerous and unnecessary. These flaws warrant that the proposed regulation be rejected. Thank you.

Shomper, Kris

From: Melissa Jones [mis9225@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, October 04, 2010 4:36 PM
To: IRRC
Subject: Disapprove!

RECEIVED
IRRC

2010 OCT -4 P 4: 45

My name is Melissa A Jones, I am a raw milk consumer and I respectfully request that you reject proposed regulation #2777 Department of Agriculture 2-160: Milk Sanitation. I am an intelligent, discriminating consumer and do not need protection from my farmer-neighbor or local market or store. If they provide an unsatisfactory product or fail to appropriately correct a problem they will be out of business quickly. At that level, State regulation does not and cannot provide a higher level policing; every consumer polices that supplier with every transaction and has direct enforcement options. Where we need government involvement is where the suppliers or markets are no longer our neighbors but rather corporations created by the State. Food safety is not size neutral. Larger operations are more complex, problems have much more far-reaching impacts and we have no direct meaningful recourse if there is a problem. The regulation needs to focus on those operations.

Although some regulations on this latter group are necessary, the regulation could be much simpler if they were performance standards, requiring that the end product achieves a desired result. How that result is achieved is the producers' responsibility, not the State's. That would result in the State's role being to test for compliance, a function that could easily be contracted out, rather than inserting itself in micromanaging the operation.

Again, I view the proposed regulation as excessive, and in some cases onerous and unnecessary. These flaws warrant that the proposed regulation be rejected.

Thankfully,
Melissa A Jones

2777

Shomper, Kris

From: Miller, Sarah E.
Sent: Monday, October 04, 2010 4:36 PM
To: IRRC
Subject: FW: IRRC Website - New Message

RECEIVED
IRRC

2010 OCT -4 P 4: 45

From: Independent Regulatory Review Commission [mailto:No-Reply@irrc.state.pa.us]
Sent: Monday, October 04, 2010 4:36 PM
To: Help
Subject: IRRC Website - New Message



IRRC

Independent Regulatory Review Commission

A new message has arrived from the IRRC Website

First Name: Lisa

Last Name: Bianco-Davis

Company:

Email: uncommon_interests@yahoo.com

Subject: Reject Regulation #2777

Message:

As a raw milk consumer, I respectfully request that you reject proposed regulation #2777 Department of Agriculture 2-160: Milk Sanitation. I am an intelligent, discriminating consumer and do not need protection from my farmer-neighbor or local market or store. If they provide an unsatisfactory product or fail to appropriately correct a problem they will be out of business quickly. At that level, State regulation does not and cannot provide a higher level policing; every consumer polices that supplier with every transaction and has direct enforcement options. Where we need government involvement is where the suppliers or markets are no longer our neighbors but rather corporations created by the State. Food safety is not size neutral. Larger operations are more complex, problems have much more far-reaching impacts and we have no direct meaningful recourse if there is a problem. The regulation needs to focus on those operations. Although some regulations on this latter group are necessary, the regulation could be much simpler if they were performance standards, requiring that the end product achieves a desired result. How that result is achieved is the producers' responsibility, not the State's. That would result in the State's role being to test for compliance, a function that could easily be contracted out, rather than inserting itself in micromanaging the operation. Again, I view the proposed regulation as excessive, and in some cases onerous and unnecessary. These flaws warrant that the proposed regulation be rejected. Sincerely, Lisa Bianco-Davis